



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,706	01/13/2006	Ian M. Bell	21172YP	1708
210 7590 10/06/2008				
MERCK AND CO., INC P O BOX 2000 RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907				
EXAMINER				
DAVIS, ZINNA NORTHINGTON				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1625				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/06/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/564,706

Applicant(s)

BELL ET AL.

Examiner

Zinna Northington Davis

Art Unit

1625

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF 298)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: ____

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-24 pending.
2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-16, drawn to a compound and a pharmaceutical composition of the formula.
 - II. Claim 17, drawn to a method for antagonism of CGRP receptor activity using a chemical compound of the formula.
 - III. Claims 18 and 21-24, drawn to a method for treating, controlling, ameliorating, or reducing the risk of headache, migraine or cluster migraine using of a chemical compound of the formula.
 - IV. Claim 19, drawn to a method for modulating of AM receptor activity using a chemical compound of the formula.
 - V. Claim 20, drawn to a method for treating cancer using of a chemical compound of the formula.
 - VI. Claim 20, drawn to a method for controlling cancer using of a chemical compound of the formula.
 - VII. Claim 20, drawn to a method for ameliorating cancer using of a chemical compound of the formula.
 - VIII. Claim 20, drawn to a method for reducing the risk of cancer using of a chemical compound of the formula.
 - IX. Claim 20, drawn to a method for treating diabetic retinopathy using of a chemical compound of the formula.

- X. Claim 20, drawn to a method for controlling diabetic retinopathy using of a chemical compound of the formula.
- XI. Claim 20, drawn to a method for ameliorating diabetic retinopathy using of a chemical compound of the formula.
- XII. Claim 20, drawn to a method for reducing the risk of diabetic retinopathy using of a chemical compound of the formula.
- XIII. Claim 20, drawn to a method for treating vascular disorders using of a chemical compound of the formula.
- XIV. Claim 20, drawn to a method for controlling vascular disorders using of a chemical compound of the formula.
- XV. Claim 20, drawn to a method for ameliorating vascular disorders using of a chemical compound of the formula.
- XVI. Claim 20, drawn to a method for reducing the risk of vascular disorders using of a chemical compound of the formula.
- XVII. Claim 20, drawn to a method for treating heart failure using of a chemical compound of the formula.
- XVIII. Claim 20, drawn to a method for controlling heart failure using of a chemical compound of the formula.
- XIX. Claim 20, drawn to a method for ameliorating heart failure using of a chemical compound of the formula.
- XX. Claim 20, drawn to a method for reducing the risk of heart failure using of a chemical compound of the formula.

- XXI. Claim 20, drawn to a method for treating septic shock of a chemical compound of the formula.
- XXII. Claim 20, drawn to a method for controlling septic shock using of a chemical compound of the formula.
- XXIII. Claim 20, drawn to a method for ameliorating septic shock using of a chemical compound of the formula.
- XXIV. Claim 20, drawn to a method for reducing the risk of septic shock using of a chemical compound of the formula.
- XXV. Claim 20, drawn to a method for treating hypertension using a chemical compound of the formula.
- XXVI. Claim 20, drawn to a method for controlling hypertension using of a chemical compound of the formula.
- XXVII. Claim 20, drawn to a method for ameliorating hypertension using of a chemical compound of the formula.
- XXVIII. Claim 20, drawn to a method for reducing the risk of hypertension using of a chemical compound of the formula.
- XXIX. Claim 20, drawn to a method for treating renal failure using of a chemical compound of the formula.
- XXX. Claim 20, drawn to a method for controlling renal failure using of a chemical compound of the formula.
- XXXI. Claim 20, drawn to a method for ameliorating renal failure using of a chemical compound of the formula.

XXXII. Claim 20, drawn to a method for reducing the risk of renal failure using of a chemical compound of the formula.

XXXIII. Claim 20, drawn to a method for treating diabetes using of a chemical compound of the formula.

XXXIV. Claim 20, drawn to a method for controlling diabetes using of a chemical compound of the formula.

XXXV. Claim 20, drawn to a method for ameliorating diabetes using of a chemical compound of the formula.

XXXVI. Claim 20, drawn to a method for reducing the risk of diabetes using of a chemical compound of the formula.

3. Inventions I-XXXVI are related as product claims. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. At claims 17-24, see the various diseases.

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: R^1 , R^2 , R^3 , and R^4 .

The ring system and radicals within the definition R^1 , R^2 , R^3 , and R^4 are diverse in scope. A prior art reference which anticipates one member of R^1 such as quinolinyl under 35 U.S.C. 102 would not render obvious another member such as ethenyl under

35 U.S.C. 103. Accordingly, the ring systems and the radicals are independent and patentably distinct.

5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. §121 to elect **a single disclosed species** for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. If a method claim is elected, a single disclosed disorder is further required. Currently, claims 1-24 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. §1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. §809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103 of the other invention.

6. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) The inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (b) The inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (c) The prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention; and
- (d) The inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement

will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

9. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

10. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process

claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai*, *In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

11. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. §121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

12. Due to the complexity of the restriction/election requirement. A written request is made.

13. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

14. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zinna N. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0682. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

16. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications.

17. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to telephone number is 571-272-1600.

/Zinna Northington Davis/
Zinna Northington Davis
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1625